UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,638	08/23/2006	Dieter Ramsauer	135408-2038	2249
	7590 01/24/201 AWRENCE & HAUG	EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			FULTON, KRISTINA ROSE	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3674	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/590,638	RAMSAUER, DIETER	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KRISTINA FULTON	3674	

	KRISTINA FULTON	3674	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence	e address
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 January 2012</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		=	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment eal (with appeal fee) in con	, affidavit, or other eviden opliance with 37 CFR 41	ence, which places the I.31; or (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date ater than SIX MONTHS from t b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W	ne mailing date of the final	rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date that been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The a eply originally set in the fir	ppropriate extension fee nal Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismissa	I of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, being the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection filed after a filed after	nsideration and/or search (w);	see NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· -		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Non-Compliant Amend	ment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	endment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:) 🔲 will be entered an	d an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections und	er appeal and/or appella	ant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims	after entry is below or	attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant argues the shape of the device. Please note the obvious modification and further the claim can be read a body is rectangular. If the shape is significant, further fur a self locking effect is not claimed. Further applicant argues that Witzberger fails to show the claimed the combination then reads on the claims. Taken final does teach the claimed limitations	at this is not a patentablel s the slides being rectangunctionality should be given es a "self blocking effect". aimed inclined angle and	y distinct feature as a chalar in corss-section and Applicant is arguing a 'Again, a self-blocking eases admitted prior art of	nange in shape is an I should clarify that the Self-locking effect" but Effect is not claimed. It modify Witzberger
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.		
	/KRISTINA R FULTON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3674		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20120120